

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON
AT SEATTLE

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,)	NO. CR13-294 RSM
)	
Plaintiff,)	
)	ORDER GRANTING UNOPPOSED
vs.)	MOTION TO CONTINUE TRIAL
)	DATE
JONATHAN EARL GREEN,)	
)	
Defendant.)	
_____)	

Based on the unopposed motion of the parties to continue the trial date, the Court makes the following findings of fact and conclusions of law:

1. The ends of justice served by granting this continuance outweigh the best interests of the public and the defendant in a speedy trial. 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(A).

2. Proceeding to trial absent adequate time for the defense to prepare would result in a miscarriage of justice. 18 U.S.C. §3161(h)(7)(B)(i).

3. The defense needs additional time to explore issues of some complexity, including all relevant issues and defenses applicable to the case, which would make it unreasonable to expect adequate preparation for pretrial proceedings or for trial itself within the time limits established by the Speedy Trial Act and currently set for this case. 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(B)(ii).

4. Taking into account the exercise of due diligence, a continuance is necessary to allow the defendant the reasonable time for effective preparation of his defense.

1 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(B)(iv).

2 NOW, THEREFORE,

3 IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that the trial date is continued from February 24,
4 2014, to June 16, 2014. The resulting period of delay from February 24, 2014, to June
5 30, 2014, is hereby excluded for speedy trial purposes under 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(A)
6 and (B). Pretrial motions are due no later than May 2, 2014.

7
8 DATED this 6th day of February 2014.

9
10
11 

12 RICARDO S. MARTINEZ
13 UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE
14
15

16 Presented By:

17
18 s/ Russell V. Leonard

19 Russell V. Leonard, WSBA No. 19972
20 Assistant Federal Public Defender
21 Attorney for Jonathan Earl Green
22
23
24
25
26